

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,689	01/27/2004	Mark Philip Chepurny	9470	
7590 · 11/30/2005			EXAMINER	
MARK PHILIP CHEPURNY			HUSBAND, SARAH E	
52 SMITH STREET BRADFORD, ON L3Z 3C9			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			1746	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
40.504.000					
10/764,689	CHEPURNY, MARK PHILIP				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Sarah E. Husband	1746				
ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
anuary 2004					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 January 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
	Examiner Sarah E. Husband Pears on the cover sheet with the cover sheet will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cover the application to become ABANDONE of date of this communication, even if timely filed sheet with the cover sheet will be covered the cover sheet will be covered to the covered sheet will be covered sheet will be covered to the covered sheet will be cov				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless – (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen (US Patent No. 4,852,594).

Chen discloses a lens cleaning apparatus having cleaning baskets (reservoirs) (922) with agitating bosses (Fig. 4) and drive system links (Fig. 1, Items 921, 9221), gears (drive system) (31, 41), a motor (12, col. 1, ll. 61), a bottom housing having holders (Fig. 1, Item 1), a top housing (Fig. 1, Item 90) and covers for the cleaning reservoirs (shown in Fig. 4). Chen also discloses in Figure 4 that the agitating bosses have a curved front and flat backside and the cleaning reservoirs are cylindrical in shape with a rounded bottom (Fig. 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1746

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ching Shih (US Patent No. 4,776,360) in view of Sturgeon (US Patent No. 3,460,552) or Saitoh (US Patent No. 6,273,106).

Ching Shih discloses a lens cleaning apparatus having cleaning reservoirs (13) with drive system links (15), a gear train (drive system) (20), a motor (30), a bottom housing having holders (11), a top housing (1) and covers (caps) for the cleaning reservoirs (14). Ching Shih does not specifically disclose agitating bosses. Sturgeon discloses ribs (35) often included in contact storage devices, which when rotated would provide a similar function. As also shown by Saitoh, it is known to use curved surfaces to agitate liquid (Fig. 1; col. 5, ll. 20-35). Ching Shih also discloses the U-shaped links (Fig. 2, Item 15) and a flat drive arm having circular bosses and a drive cam with a flat bar with holes (Fig. 2, Items 25, 23). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ching Shih with Sturgeon or Saitoh for the benefit of improved cleaning.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art not referred to are Gabbert (US 5,347,674), Frantz (US 3,623,492), Litzaw (US 4,907,613) and Wei (US 5,232,003), who teach contact lens cleaning apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah E. Husband whose telephone number is (571) 272-8387. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4.

Art Unit: 1746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael E. Barr can be reached at (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SEH

MICHAEL BARR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER